MR. DE CASTRO: Good morning, your Honor, Cesar De Castro and Shannon McManus for Mr. Daibes, who is standing to my left.

THE COURT: Good morning. Welcome. Everyone be seated.

Let me see the stip.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MONTELEONI: The government has not yet signed it.

sir?

23

24

25

DEFENDANT HANA: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you are waiving

Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Fee.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FEE: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Lustberg.

MR. LUSTBERG: No, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. De Castro.

MR. DE CASTRO: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Government, I am going to give it back to you, if you'll sign it, and I want a copy of it after it's fully executed.

MR. MONTELEONI: Absolutely, your Honor. I'm signing it right now, and I am going to endorse the date, which is today, not yesterday when it was circulated, and I will send a copy to chambers and to defense counsel.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Let's do some other business.

First of all, the parties were negotiating an agreed-upon statement of the case to present to the jury. Where does that stand?

MR. MONTELEONI: Your Honor, we submitted, I believe, our statement of the case with our *voir dire*.

THE COURT: No. That's correct. You each did. But the parties indicated that you were attempting to reach an agreed-upon statement of the case?

MR. MONTELEONI: I apologize, your Honor. I'm not prepared to address that right now. I'll go back and talk with my colleagues afterwards. If there is a need to do something together, we will absolutely do so.

THE COURT: I would like that statement a week from Monday so I can read it to the jury at the commencement of the trial.

Again, I'll take it first from Mr. Monteleoni.

parties said that they were attempting to resolve any

differences. Where do you stand on that?

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MONTELEONI: We have circulated our proposed charges to the defendants, we have received a number of comments, and it is in our core to continue those discussions.

Try to resolve those. It makes it a lot THE COURT: easier for the Court to administer if the parties have agreed upon the jury charges.

MR. MONTELEONI: Yes, your Honor. My anticipation is it's likely that there will be areas of disagreement that persist. We obviously will attempt to narrow those as much as possible.

THE COURT: Please.

Now, in my order, April 3, ECF 276, I set forth a schedule for the parties to exchange information in regard to any reliance on affirmative defenses. I haven't seen anything. There is nothing in that order that required that I be informed.

But I would like to know, to the extent you can state what the status of that is, are affirmative defenses going to be asserted, and what are they?

MR. MONTELEONI: Yes, your Honor.

The government provided to the defense the notice specified in the Court's order with respect to the actions in agency of a foreign principal for the section 219 related charges and received a response from the defense that they did not intend to assert that affirmative defense. We have not

THE COURT: That is everything on my agenda except for the billet doux from the parties, which is document 341, which I take it is a joint request by the parties for a one-week adjournment. It's written by Mr. De Castro.

Mr. De Castro, speak to me, sir.

23

24

25

1 MR. DE CASTRO: Yes, Judge.

I think all parties consent, agree that we could use the additional week. Obviously, we were engaged in good-faith negotiations regarding the -- basically, we were engaged in good-faith discussions regarding the Lustberg stipulation for several days, if not a couple of weeks.

The government consents and the defense would request a week for us to be able to -- and that would include that 3500 would be produced, including the government's exhibits today, and that would just make up for lost time.

THE COURT: If the parties agree, I try to accommodate the parties if everybody is agreed. That indeed provides for 3500 and witness lists and exhibit lists to be turned over earlier than previously agreed upon, but it's fine with me if the parties have agreed.

Government.

MR. MONTELEONI: That's correct, that we agree to the proposal in the letter which includes not just that we are going to be producing today, which we are willing to do, it also includes a number of defense deadlines.

We would, however, of course, ask that time until the new trial date of May 13 be excluded under the speedy trial clock in the interests of justice to allow the parties to engage in pretrial preparations.

THE COURT: As I say, I'm inclined to grant it. And I

17 18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

23

24

25

21 enable all parties to prepare for trial. 22

I am going to set these dates which are set forth in the letter.

Any defense expert notices served by April 19, requests to charge by April 24, defendants' nonimpeachment

24

25